arXiv:1411.7463v2 [nucl-th] 7 Jan 2015

Probing the momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential by the free n/p ratio of preequilibrium emission

He-Lei Liu[1,2], Gao-Chan Yong[2],* and De-Hua Wen[1]
[1] *School of Science, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, P.R. China*
[2] *Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China*
(Dated: January 8, 2015)

Based on an isospin and momentum-dependent transport model, we studied the effect of momentum-dependent symmetry potential on the free neutron to proton ratio of preequilibrium nucleon emission. It is found that for $^{132}Sn+^{124}Sn$ reaction at 400 MeV/nucleon incident beam energy, free n/p ratio of preequilibrium nucleon emission mainly probe the momentum-dependence of symmetry potential at nucleon momentum around 400 $\sim$ 600 MeV/c. Whereas for 200 MeV/nucleon incident beam energy, this observable mainly probe the momentum-dependence of symmetry potential at nucleon momentum around 200 $\sim$ 400 MeV/c. To probe the symmetry energy/potential using free n/p ratio, not all the details of the momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential are important, the values of symmetry potential at only certain momentum range are crucial for an observable. It is important to input reasonable density- and momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential according to the magnitude of incident beam energy of heavy-ion collisions. The present experimental data on the symmetry potential are not enough for probing the density-dependent symmetry energy. More experimental data (such as nucleon and nuclei's scattering experiments at different nucleonic momenta and densities) on the symmetry potential are therefore needed to pin down the density-dependent symmetry energy.

PACS numbers: 25.70.-z, 21.65.Mn, 21.65.Ef

Heavy-ion collisions induced by neutron-rich nuclei have been used as the unique means to probe the density dependence of nuclear symmetry energy [1–7], the latter is important to understand the nuclear structures [8, 9] as well as the properties of neutron stars [10, 11]. Nowadays, the density dependence of nuclear symmetry energy/potential is still not well known especially at suprasaturation densities [12] and high momentum [13]. Few progress has been made until recently [14]. Also reducing the uncertainties on the constraints of nuclear symmetry energy is of critical importance and remains a big challenge.

The momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential is important to determine the symmetry energy [15, 16]. However, except the old experimental data [17], no new related experiments on the symmetry potential were carried out in recent 30 years. Nowadays the determination of the density-dependent symmetry energy is one of the most important question in nuclear community, therefore it needs urgently to study the momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential more detailedly.

Very recently, the authors have done a work of decomposition of the sensitivity of symmetry energy/potential observables in density region [18] and found that the symmetry-energy-sensitive observables (including charged pion ratio π^-/π^+ and free neutron-proton ratio n/p) mainly probe the symmetry energy/potential around $1.25\rho_0$ (for pion emission) or $1.5\rho_0$ (for preequilibrium nucleon emission). As a further consideration, for the momentum-dependent symmetry potential, one would like to know which momentum region does free n/p ratio reflect. To find out the answer, in this report, we decompose the sensitivity of the frequently used symmetry energy observable pre-equilibrium free n/p in momentum region. Such study is surely crucial to pin down the momentum-dependence of symmetry potential as well as the density-dependent symmetry energy. In the following, we show how to decompose the sensitivity of free n/p to the symmetry potential in momentum space.



FIG. 1: (Color online) Momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential $(U_n - U_p)/2\delta$ at different densities using the MDI interaction with $x = 0$, the shaded region indicates the experimental constraints.

The present study is based on an isospin and

*Electronic address: yonggaochan@impcas.ac.cn

momentum-dependent transport model IBUU04 [19, 20]. In the model, the initial proton and neutron density distributions are given by the relativistic mean-field theory [21]. We use the experimental nucleon-nucleon scattering cross section in free space and consider the Pauli blockings for fermions. The potential adopted here is an isospin and momentum-dependent single nucleon potential (MDI) [22], i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\rho,\delta,\mathbf{p},\tau) = \quad & A_u(x)\frac{\rho_{\tau'}}{\rho_0} + A_l(x)\frac{\rho_\tau}{\rho_0} \\ & + B(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})^\sigma(1-x\delta^2) - 8x\tau\frac{B}{\sigma+1}\frac{\rho^{\sigma-1}}{\rho_0^\sigma}\delta\rho_{\tau'} \\ & + \frac{2C_{\tau,\tau}}{\rho_0}\int d^3\mathbf{p}'\frac{f_\tau(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}')}{1+(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')^2/\Lambda^2} \\ & + \frac{2C_{\tau,\tau'}}{\rho_0}\int d^3\mathbf{p}'\frac{f_{\tau'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}')}{1+(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')^2/\Lambda^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\rho=\rho_n+\rho_p$ is the baryon density, ρ_n and ρ_p denote the neutron ($\tau=1/2$) and proton ($\tau=-1/2$) density, respectively. $\delta=(\rho_n-\rho_p)/\rho$ is the isospin asymmetry of nuclear medium. The variable x is introduced to reflect different forms of symmetry energy. More details of the parameters in the above equation can be found in Refs. [19, 20, 22]. With the above single particle potential $U(\rho,\delta,\mathbf{p},\tau)$, one can give the symmetry energy $E_{sym}(\rho)$ for a given parameter x. In this study, we choose $x=0$ for example. Fig. 1 shows the isovector potential $(U_n-U_p)/2\delta$ at different densities using the MDI interaction with $x=0$. The shaded region can be described by $U=a-bE_{kin}$ with $a\simeq 22-34MeV$ and $b\simeq 0.1-0.2$ from experimental data [17, 23, 24]. Here E_{kin} can be expressed as a function of nucleonic momentum $E_{kin}=1000\times[(p^2+0.93893^2)^{1/2}-0.93893]$ MeV (here nucleonic momentum p is in GeV/c). It is seen that the experimental data of symmetry potential reach about 450 MeV/c in momentum space. To better constrain the density-dependent symmetry energy, it is necessary to extend present experimental data in large density and momentum region.

Sensitivity of the free n/p ratio of preequilibrium emission to the momentum-independent [25] and momentum-independent symmetry potential has been studied many years ago [19]. However, the study was just to see the effect of the whole symmetry potential on the free n/p ratio of preequilibrium emission. In order to know in which momentum region does the frequently used symmetry energy/potential sensitive observable free n/p ratio shows maximal sensitivity to the momentum-dependent symmetry potential, in the whole momentum region we use Eq. (1) with $x=0$ as the standard calculation, which gives a value of free n/p ratio R_0. To see the sensitivity of this observable to the symmetry potential in momentum space, e.g., $0<$ p <200 MeV/c, we turn off the symmetry potential in this momentum space but keep the symmetry potential ($x=0$) in residual momentum space. We thus get a new a value of free n/p ratio R_1. Similarly we can get other values of free n/p ratio $R_2, R_3, ..., R_i$



FIG. 2: (Color online) Evolutions of pre-equilibrium free n/p ratio R_i (see text for details) by turning off the symmetry potential in momentum regions $0<$ p <200 MeV/c, $200<$ p <400 MeV/c, $400<$ p <600 MeV/c, $600<$ p <800 MeV/c, respectively and comparison with the standard calculation R_0.



FIG. 3: Relative sensitivity of pre-equilibrium free n/p ratio to the symmetry potential in different momentum regions at the beam energy of 400 MeV/nucleon in $^{132}Sn+^{124}Sn$ collision with an impact parameter b=1 fm.

corresponding to other momentum regions (evolutions of R_i are shown in Fig. 2). Through comparing these new computing results at each step with the standard calculation, one can obtain the relative sensitivity ($=\frac{|R_0-R_i|}{R_0}$) of a symmetry energy/potential observable in certain momentum region.

Shown in Fig. 3 is the relative sensitivity of pre-equilibrium free n/p ratio to the symmetry potential in different momentum regions at the beam energy of 400 MeV/nucleon in $^{132}Sn+^{124}Sn$ collision with an impact parameter b=1 fm. One can clearly see that the maximal sensitivity of n/p ratio to the symmetry potential is in 400$\sim$ 600 MeV/c momentum space. Above 600 MeV/c or below 300 MeV/c, free n/p ratio to the symmetry po-



FIG. 4: Same as Fig. 3, but at the beam energy of 200 MeV/nucleon.



FIG. 5: (Color online) Location of baryon number in momentum space as a function of time in $^{132}Sn+^{124}Sn$ reactions at incident beam energies of 400 (a) and 200 MeV/nucleon (b), respectively.

tential in momentum space is no longer sensitive. Therefore, to study the effect of nuclear symmetry energy using heavy-ion collisions at incident beam energies around 400 MeV/nucleon, the values of symmetry potential in momentum range 400 $\sim$ 600 MeV/c play crucial role in determination the value of the final observable.

Since related experiments with incident beam energies around 100 $\sim$ 350 MeV/nucleon are planning/doing at facilities that offer fast radioactive beams such as the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) and the Facility forRare Isotope Beams (FRIB) in the USA, the Radioactive Isotope Beam Facility (RIBF) in Japan, it is necessary to make a similar study at incident beam energy below 400 MeV/nucleon. Shown in Fig. 4 is the relative sensitivity of pre-equilibrium free n/p ratio to the symmetry potential in different momentum regions at beam energy of 200 MeV/nucleon. However, one can see that the maximal sensitivity of free n/p ratio to the symmetry potential is in the momentum space 200 $\sim$ 400 MeV/c. Below the nucleon momentum 200 MeV/c, the sensitivity is about one half of the maximal sensitivity. When nucleon momentum is larger than 500 MeV/c, the relative sensitivity rapidly decrease with nucleonic momentum. More interestingly, one can see that the sensitivity of free n/p ratio is about one time larger than that for 400 MeV/nucleon beam energy. Therefore, to study the effect of nuclear symmetry energy using heavy-ion collisions at incident beam energies around 200 MeV/nucleon, the values of symmetry potential in momentum range 200 $\sim$ 400 MeV/c play crucial role in determination the value of the final observable. At least, the momentum-dependent symmetry potential around saturation density used in the transport model must fit the experimental data well (as shaded area shown in Fig. 1).

To better understand why the free n/p ratio shows different sensitivity to the symmetry potential in momentum space, shown in Fig. 5, we provide the evolution of baryon number at different momentum regions. It is seen that the distribution of baryons at different momentum regions changes with reaction time. For 400 MeV/nucleon incident beam energy, in the whole reaction process, baryon number in the region of 400 $\sim$ 600 MeV/c is evidently larger than that located in other regions. While the maximal baryon number locates in the region of 200 $\sim$ 400 MeV/c at the incident beam energy of 200 MeV/nucleon. Maximal baryon number location in certain momentum space should be also maximally affected by the symmetry potential at that momentum space, thus we see different sensitivity of free n/p ratio to symmetry potential in momentum space.

It is known to all that the symmetry energy has kinetic and potential parts [26]. However, in transport model simulations of heavy-ion collisions, the symmetry potential corresponding to a given potential symmetry energy is a direct input but the kinetic symmetry energy does not directly enter transport model simulations [27]. Because observables are sensitive to the symmetry potential mainly at certain density and momentum ranges, to probe the symmetry energy using heavy-ion collisions, it is important to input reasonable density- and momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential [13, 20] according to the magnitude of incident beam energy of heavy-ion collisions.

In summary, based on the IBUU04 transport model, we decomposed the sensitivity of pre-equilibrium free n/p ratio to the momentum-dependent symmetry potential in momentum region. It is found that the free n/p ratio mainly probe the symmetry potential in the momentum region 400 $\sim$ 600 MeV/c for the incident beam energy of 400 MeV/nucleon and 200 $\sim$ 400 MeV/c for 200 MeV/nucleon beam energy. This study can help us to constrain the momentum-dependence of the symmetry

potential more detailedly. Since observables are sensitive to the symmetry potential mainly at certain density and momentum ranges, to probe the symmetry energy using heavy-ion collisions, it is important to input reasonable density- and momentum-dependence of the symmetry potential according to the magnitude of incident beam energy of heavy-ion collisions. Our study also shows that, the present experimental data on symmetry potential are not enough for probing the density-dependent symmetry energy. More experimental data (such as nucleon and nuclei's scattering experiments at different nucleonic momenta and densities) on the symmetry potential are needed to pin down the density-dependent symmetry energy.

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11375239, 11435014, 11275073 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University of China under Grant No. 2013ZG0036.

[1] B.A. Li, C.M. Ko and W. Bauer, topical review, Int.J.of Modern Phys. **E7**, 147 (1998).
[2] B.A. Li, W. Udo Schröder(Eds), Isospin Physics in Heavy-Ion Collisions at Intermediate Energies, Nova Science, New York, 2001.
[3] B.A. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett **88**, 192701 (2002).
[4] V. Baran, M. Colonna, V. Greco, M. Di Toro, Phys. Rep. **410**, 335 (2005).
[5] M.B. Tsang, Yingxun Zhang, P. Danielewicz, M. Famiano, Zhuxia Li, W.G. Lynch, A.W. Steiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 122701 (2009).
[6] M.B. Tsang, Z. Chajecki, D. Coupland, P. Danielewicz, F. Famiano, R. Hodges, M.Kilburn, F. Lu, W.G. Lynch, J. Winkelbauer, M. Youngs, YingXun Zhang, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **66**, 400 (2011).
[7] M. B. Tsang, J. R. Stone, F. Camera, P. Danielewicz, S. Gandolfi, K. Hebeler, C. J. Horowitz, Jenny Lee, W. G. Lynch, Z. Kohley, R. Lemmon, P. Moller, T. Murakami, S. Riordan, X. Roca-Maza, F. Sammarruca, A. W. Steiner, I. Vidaña, S. J. Yennello, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 015803 (2012).
[8] B.A. Brown, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5296 (2000).
[9] C.J. Horowitz and J. Piekarewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5647 (2001).
[10] J.M. Lattimer, C.J. Pethick, M. Prakash, and P. Haensel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2701 (1991).
[11] K. Summiyoshi and H. Toki, Astrophys. J. **422**, 700 (1994).
[12] B.A. Li, L.W. Chen, and C.M. Ko, Phys. Rep. **464**, 113 (2008).
[13] R. Chen, B. Cai, L.W. Chen, B.A. Li, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 024305 (2012).
[14] Z.G. Xiao, B.A. Li, L.W. Chen, G.C. Yong, M. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 062502 (2009).
[15] C. Xu, B.A. Li, L.W. Chen, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 054607 (2010).
[16] X.H. Li, B.J. Cai, L.W. Chen, R. Chen, B.A. Li, C. Xu, Phys. Lett. B **721**, 101 (2013).
[17] D. M. Patterson, R. R. Doering and A. Galonsky, Nucl. Phys. A **263**, 261 (1976); J. Rapaport, T. S. Cheema, D. E. Bainum, R. W. Finlay, J. D. Carlson, Nucl. Phys. A **313**, 1 (1979); J. Rapaport, V. Kulkarni, R.W. Finaly, Nucl. Phys. A **330**, 15 (1979); R. P. de Vito, S. M. Austin, W. Sterrenburg, and U. E. P. Berg, Phys. Rev. Lett **47**, 628 (1981); R. De Leo, S. Micheletti, Phys. Lett. B **98**, 233 (1981); K. Kwiatkowski, N.S. Wall, Nucl. Phys. A **301**, 349 (1978).
[18] H.L. Liu, G.C. Yong, and D.H. Wen, arXiv: 1406.6504v3 (2014).
[19] B.A. Li, C.B. Das, S. Das Gupta, and C. Gale, Nucl. Phys. A **735**, 563 (2004).
[20] B.A. Li, C.B. Das, S. Das Gupta, and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 011603 (2004).
[21] Z.Z. Ren, W. Mitting, B.Q. Chen, and Z.Y. Ma, Phys.Rev.C **52**, R20 (1995).
[22] C.B. Das, S. Das Gupta, C. Gale and B.A. Li, Phys.Rev.C **67**, 034611 (2003).
[23] B.A. Li, Phys.Rev.C **69**, 064602 (2004).
[24] L.W. Chen, C.M. Ko, B.A. Li and G.C. Yong, Frontiers of Physics in China **2**, 327 (2007).
[25] B.A. Li, C.M. Ko, Z.Z. Ren, Phys. Rev. Lett **78**, 1644 (1997).
[26] O. Hen, B.A. Li, W.J. Guo, L.B. Weinstein, E. Piasetzky, arXiv:1408.0772 (2014).
[27] B.A. Li, W.J. Guo, Z.Z. Shi, arXiv:1408.6415 (2014).